

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Absanding, Vingnin 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/510,378	02/22/2000		Maureen T. Cronin	18547-004131US	3064
33494	7590	06/26/2003			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER 8TH FLOOR				EXAMINER	
				MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1631 DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003	\bigcirc
				DATE MALDED. 00/20/2003	50

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/510,378 Applicant(s)

Cronin et al.

Examiner

Ardin Marschel

Art Unit 1631



• •	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	TO EVEIDE 2 MONTHES FROM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply withi	n the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 13, 2	2003
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This act	tion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance of closed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>59-94</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>59-81</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>82-84 and 88-91</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 💢 Claim(s) <u>85-87 and 92-94</u>	is/are objected to.
8) 💢 Claims <i>59-94</i>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on Feb 22, 2000 is/ar	e all accepted or box objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisiona	I application has been received.
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) X Other: Attachment for PTO-948

The art unit designated for this application has changed.

Applicant(s) are hereby informed that future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 1631.

Applicants' arguments, filed 3/13/03, have been fully considered and they are deemed to be persuasive to overcome previous rejections of record. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.

Upon reconsideration the following rejections and/or objections are newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

DRAWINGS

Applicant is hereby notified that the required timing for the correction of drawings has changed. See the last 6 lines on the sheet which is attached entitled "Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01 or earlier)". It is noted that a PTO Form 948 was mailed with Paper No. 6, mailed 4/23/01. Due to the above notification Applicant is required to submit drawing corrections within the time period set for responding to this Office action. Failure to respond to this requirement may result in abandonment of the instant application or a notice of a failure to fully respond to this Office action.

PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under

Art Unit: 1631

this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 82-84 and 88-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and (e)(2) as being clearly anticipated by Mundy (P/N 4,656,127).

It is firstly noted that probe sets in claims 82 etc.

comprise a plurality of probes but broadly without any indication of whether said plurality or probes are identical or different within each set. Mundy describes a probe example as Example 2 starting in column 10, line 45, wherein two linearized plasmids were spotted in a grid pattern on a nitrocellulose membrane.

These two linearized probes sample contain 50 ng each which clearly comprise a plurality, albeit identical, of probes in each

probe set preparation. This plasmid spotted membrane disclosure in the reference teach the array limitations of instant claim 82. In column 10, lines 54-60, these plasmids are described as being different at position 1649 wherein plasmid pAT153 has A-T at said position whereas plasmid pBR322 has G-C at that position. interrogation positions are the result of a segment in pBR322 which is lacking in pAT153 of length 2353-1649 of 704 bases. remainder of each plasmid is identical thus serving as the reference sequence as instantly claimed. The position 1649 difference between these plasmids is only the first base of the 704 base difference between the two plasmids thus making an actual number of interrogation positions of 704. This meets the claim limitation in claim 82 wherein the second probe set must have "at least 3 contiguous nucleotides" as probe differences or interrogation positions. It is noted that instant claim 82 is not limited as to the upper number of interrogation positions. In column 11, lines 17-23, a partial target sequence segment of positions 1629-1648 was synthesized and expected to hybridize to both plasmid nucleic acids as described therein. Various polymerase, nucleotide, and exonuclease make various targets as summarized in hybridization reactions in column 12, lines 23-61, wherein the presence of exact matching target sequence versus not was detected via autoradiography. This methodology anticipates the above listed instant claims.

Claims 85-87 and 92-94 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

June 25, 2003

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER